

Standing Requirements

Program Mission Statement

Recognizing its general and special missions in education, Embry-Riddle Aeronautical University embraces a general education program. This course of study ensures that students possess the attributes expected of all university graduates. The general education program enables students, regardless of their degree program, to understand the significance of acquiring a broad range of knowledge. Throughout the general education program, students gain and enhance competence in written and oral communication. They practice reasoning and critical thinking skills and demonstrate computer proficiency. As students engage in this course of study, they familiarize themselves with and investigate ideas and methodologies from several disciplines. These include the arts and humanities, the social sciences, economics, the natural sciences and mathematics. The program also helps students recognize interrelationships among the disciplines. Promoting the appreciation of varied perspectives, the general education program provides intellectual stimulation, ensuring that students are broadly educated. This course of study empowers students to make informed value judgments, to expand their knowledge and understanding of themselves, and to lead meaningful, responsible, and satisfying lives as individuals, professionals, and concerned members of their society and the world.

Embry-Riddle Aeronautical University's general education program encourages effective learning and provides a coherent base for students to pursue their academic specializations. In specific support of the goals of general education,

candidates for bachelor degrees must complete course work or demonstrate competency in the following areas: English, Mathematics, Physical Sciences, and Social Sciences and Economics.

Program Alignment to University Mission

Form: [Alignment to University Mission](#)

ERAU University Mission Statement

Our mission is to teach the science, practice and business of aviation and aerospace, preparing students for productive careers¹ and leadership roles in service around the world.²

Our technologically enriched, student-centered environment³ emphasizes learning through collaboration and teamwork,⁴ concern for ethical and responsible behavior,⁵ cultivation of analytical⁶ and management abilities,⁷ and a focus on the development of the professional skills needed for participation in a global community.⁸ We believe a vibrant future for aviation and aerospace rests in the success of our students. Toward this end, Embry-Riddle is committed to providing a climate that facilitates the highest standards of academic achievement⁹ and knowledge discovery,¹⁰ in an interpersonal environment that supports the unique needs of each individual.¹¹ Embry-Riddle Aeronautical University is the world's leader in aviation and aerospace education. The University is an independent, non-profit, culturally diverse institution providing quality education and research in aviation, aerospace, engineering and related fields leading to associate's, baccalaureate's, master's and doctoral degrees.

*** Program Alignment to University Mission**

Program Alignment to University Mission

Select all that apply.

¹Preparing students for productive careers

²Preparing students for leadership roles in service around the world

³Technologically enriched environment

⁴Emphasize learning through collaboration and teamwork

⁵Concern for ethical and responsible behavior

⁶Cultivate analytical abilities

⁸Develop the professional skills needed for participation in a global community

⁹Facilitating the highest standards of academic achievement

¹⁰Facilitating knowledge discovery

¹¹Providing an interpersonal environment that supports the unique needs of each individual

Program Outcomes

FL - Embry-Riddle General Education Competency Set (Copy 2)

General Education Competencies

Competency	Mapping
<p>Critical Thinking (DB, PC, WW) The student will apply knowledge at the synthesis level to define and solve problems within professional and personal environments.</p>	<p>Embry-Riddle General Education Competency Set: Critical Thinking (DB, PC, WW)</p>
<p>Quantitative Reasoning (DB, PC, WW) The student will demonstrate the use of digitally-enabled technology (including concepts, techniques and tools of computing), mathematics proficiency & analysis techniques to interpret data for the purpose of drawing valid conclusions and solving associated problems.</p>	<p>Embry-Riddle General Education Competency Set: Quantitative Reasoning (DB, PC, WW)</p>
<p>Information Literacy (DB, PC, WW) The student will conduct meaningful research, including gathering information from primary and secondary sources and incorporating and documenting source material in his or her writing.</p>	<p>Embry-Riddle General Education Competency Set: Information Literacy (DB, PC, WW)</p>
<p>Communication (DB, PC, WW) The student will communicate concepts in written, digital and oral forms to present technical and non-technical information.</p>	<p>Embry-Riddle General Education Competency Set: Communication (DB, PC, WW)</p>

Scientific Literacy (DB, PC, WW)
 The student will be able to analyze scientific evidence as it relates to the physical world and its interrelationship with human values and interests.

Embry-Riddle General Education Competency Set: Scientific Literacy (DB, PC, WW)

Cultural Literacy (DB, PC, WW)
 The student will be able to analyze historical events, cultural artifacts, and philosophical concepts.

Embry-Riddle General Education Competency Set: Cultural Literacy (DB, PC, WW)

Lifelong Personal Growth (WW Only)
 The student will be able to demonstrate the skills needed to enrich the quality of life through activities which enhance and promote lifetime learning.

Embry-Riddle General Education Competency Set: Lifelong Personal Growth (WW Only)

General Education Outcome Set

Outcome

Outcome	Mapping
WW_BSGE_PO_01 Mathematical Reasoning: Apply knowledge of college level mathematics to defining and solving problems.	Embry-Riddle General Education Competency Set: Critical Thinking (DB, PC, WW), Quantitative Reasoning (DB, PC, WW)
WW_BSGE_PO_02 Quantitative Analysis: Apply statistical methods in	Embry-Riddle General Education Competency Set: Critical Thinking (DB, PC, WW), Information Literacy

the analysis and interpretation of data for the purpose of drawing valid conclusions relating to the solutions of problems.

(DB, PC, WW), Quantitative Reasoning (DB, PC, WW)

WW_BSGE_PO_03
Written Communication:

Communicate ideas in written form in both technical and non-technical areas.

Embry-Riddle General Education Competency Set: Communication (DB, PC, WW), Information Literacy (DB, PC, WW)

WW_BSGE_PO_04
Oral and Visual Communication:

Communicate ideas in non-written form, such as through oral presentations or visual media.

Embry-Riddle General Education Competency Set: Communication (DB, PC, WW), Information Literacy (DB, PC, WW)

WW_BSGE_PO_05
Ethical and Social Responsibility:

Recognize the importance of professional, ethical and social responsibility.

Embry-Riddle General Education Competency Set: Critical Thinking (DB, PC, WW), Cultural Literacy (DB, PC, WW), Scientific Literacy (DB, PC, WW)

WW_BSGE_PO_06
Environmental Awareness:

Understand the natural world, to include the impact of the environment on aerospace operations and aerospace operations on the environment, as well as everyday life and professional experiences.

Embry-Riddle General Education Competency Set: Critical Thinking (DB, PC, WW), Cultural Literacy (DB, PC, WW), Scientific Literacy (DB, PC, WW)

WW_BSGE_PO_07

Embry-Riddle General Education Competency Set: Communication

Technological Literacy:
Use digitally-enabled technology to organize and manipulate data, perform calculations, aid in solving problems, and communicate solutions, ideas, and concepts.

(DB, PC, WW), Critical Thinking (DB, PC, WW), Information Literacy (DB, PC, WW), Quantitative Reasoning (DB, PC, WW), Scientific Literacy (DB, PC, WW)

WW_BSGE_PO_08
Scientific Reasoning:
Use scientific information in critical thinking and decision-making processes.

Embry-Riddle General Education Competency Set: Critical Thinking (DB, PC, WW), Quantitative Reasoning (DB, PC, WW), Scientific Literacy (DB, PC, WW)

WW_BSGE_PO_09
Teamwork:
Function on multi-cultural and/or multi-disciplinary teams.

Embry-Riddle General Education Competency Set: Communication (DB, PC, WW), Cultural Literacy (DB, PC, WW), Lifelong Personal Growth (WW Only)

WW_BSGE_PO_10
Economic Reasoning:
Apply economic principles to identify, formulate, and solve problems within professional and personal environments.

Embry-Riddle General Education Competency Set: Critical Thinking (DB, PC, WW), Information Literacy (DB, PC, WW), Quantitative Reasoning (DB, PC, WW)

WW_BSGE_PO_11
Professional Engagement:
Identify and participate in professional and personal development activities through organizations and self-directed learning.

Embry-Riddle General Education Competency Set: Communication (DB, PC, WW), Cultural Literacy (DB, PC, WW), Lifelong Personal Growth (WW Only)

WW_BSGE_PO_12
Social Awareness:

Embry-Riddle General Education Competency Set: Critical Thinking (DB, PC, WW), Cultural Literacy (DB, PC, WW), Information Literacy (DB,

Understand contemporary issues in society.

PC, WW), Lifelong Personal Growth (WW Only)

WW_BSGE_PO_13
Multicultural Competence:

Recognize the complexity and diversity of the human experience, including cultural, aesthetic, psychological, philosophical, and spiritual dimensions.

Embry-Riddle General Education Competency Set: Cultural Literacy (DB, PC, WW), Lifelong Personal Growth (WW Only)

WW_BSGE_PO_14
Information Literacy:

Conduct and report research in accordance with professional standards.

Embry-Riddle General Education Competency Set: Communication (DB, PC, WW), Critical Thinking (DB, PC, WW), Information Literacy (DB, PC, WW), Quantitative Reasoning (DB, PC, WW)

Curriculum Map

Mapping Matrix

College of Arts & Sciences Curriculum Map

Alignment Set: General Education Outcome Set

Created: 09/30/2013 10:00:17 am EDT

Last Modified: 10/29/2013 5:04:22 pm EDT

[\[Print View\]](#) [\[PDF\]](#)

Assessment Schedule

Mapping Matrix

➔ Assessment Schedule Mapped to Competencies

[\[Print View\]](#) [\[PDF\]](#)

Alignment Set: FL - Embry-Riddle General Education Competency Set (Copy 2)

Created: 10/11/2016 3:58:50 pm EDT

Last Modified: 10/11/2016 4:00:08 pm EDT

Assessment Schedule Mapped to Competencies

Courses and Activities Mapped to FL - Embry-Riddle General Education Competency Set (Copy 2)

Show Competency Set Descriptions

Show Course/Activity Detail

General Education Competencies						
Critical Thinking (DB, PC, WW) The student will apply knowledge at the synthesis level to define and solve problems within professional and personal environments.	Quantitative Reasoning (DB, PC, WW) The student will demonstrate the use of digitally-enabled technology (including concepts, techniques and tools of computing), mathematics proficiency & analysis techniques to interpret data for the purpose of drawing valid conclusions and solving associated problems.	Information Literacy (DB, PC, WW) The student will conduct meaningful research, including gathering information from primary and secondary sources and incorporating and documenting source material in his or her writing.	Communication (DB, PC, WW) The student will communicate concepts in written, digital and oral forms to present technical and non-technical information.	Scientific Literacy (DB, PC, WW) The student will be able to analyze scientific evidence as it relates to the physical world and its interrelationship with human values and interests.	Cultural Literacy (DB, PC, WW) The student will be able to analyze historical events, cultural artifacts, and philosophical concepts.	Lifelong Personal Growth (WW Only) The student will be able to demonstrate the skills needed to enrich the quality of life through activities which enhance and promote lifetime learning.

Courses and Learning Activities						
2016-2017 Assessment Cycle	✓	✓		✓		
2017-2018 Assessment Cycle			✓		✓	✓

Legend: ✓ = Aligned

Gen Ed Assessment Schedule

Alignment Set: General Education Outcome Set

Created: 09/30/2013 10:53:43 am EDT

Last Modified: 10/11/2016 3:57:38 pm EDT

Gen Ed Assessment Schedule

Courses and Activities Mapped to General Education Outcome Set

Show Outcome Descriptions Show Course/Activity Detail

Outcome									
WW_BSCE_PO_01 Mathematical Reasoning Apply knowledge of college level mathematics to defining and solving problems.	WW_BSCE_PO_02 Quantitative Analysis Apply statistical methods in the analysis and interpretation of data for the purpose of drawing valid conclusions relating to the solutions of problems.	WW_BSCE_PO_03 Written Communication Communicate ideas in written form in both technical and non-technical areas.	WW_BSCE_PO_04 Oral and Visual Communication Communicate ideas in non-written form, such as through oral presentations or visual media.	WW_BSCE_PO_05 Ethical and Social Responsibility Recognize the importance of professional, ethical and social responsibility.	WW_BSCE_PO_06 Environmental Awareness Understand the natural world, to include the impact of the environment on aerospace operations and aerospace operations on the environment, as well as everyday life and professional experiences.	WW_BSCE_PO_07 Technological Literacy Use digitally-enabled technology to organize and manipulate data, perform calculations and in solving problems, and communicate solutions, ideas and concepts.	WW_BSCE_PO_08 Scientific Reasoning Use scientific information in critical thinking and decision-making processes.	WW_BSCE_PO_09 Teamwork Function on multi-cultural and/or multi-disciplinary teams.	WW_BSCE_PO_10 Economic Reasoning Apply economic principles to identify, formulate, and solve problems within professional and personal environments.

Courses and Learning Activities									
2013-14 Assessment Cycle					✓			✓	
2014-15 ASSESSMENT CYCLE		✓	✓						
2015-16 ASSESSMENT CYCLE			✓		✓			✓	
2016-2017 Assessment Cycle	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

Legend: ✓ = Aligned

Last Modified: 10/11/2016 03:57:36 PM

created with taskstream

Additional Information (Optional)

2015-2016 Assessment Cycle

Contact Information

Form: [Contact Information](#)

Please fill out the form with the information of the person responsible for the assessment plan.

* Contact Name

First

Thomas

Last

Sieland

* Email

sielandt@erau.edu

* Phone Number

770-499-8017

Assessment Plan

Measures

FL - Embry-Riddle General Education Competency Set (Copy 2)

General Education Competencies

Outcome: Information Literacy (DB, PC, WW)

The student will conduct meaningful research, including gathering information from primary and secondary sources and incorporating and documenting source material in his or her writing.

Measure: RSCH 202 - Activity 5.6 - Assignment: Final Literature Review

▼ *Course level; Direct - Student Artifact*

Details/Description:

The RSCH 202 course gives students a thorough introduction to research processes and techniques, equips them to learn other techniques and do research in future courses and their professional lives, and provides them with the knowledge to evaluate research done by others. Topics covered include the purposes of research, defining research

and research problems, defining a hypothesis, problem solving and knowledge discovery, methods of quantitative and qualitative research, conducting literature reviews, designing appropriate methodologies, evaluating outcomes, analysis and communicating the results. The literature review assignment is a step-by-step process that involves the identification of published and unpublished work from secondary data sources on the topic of interest, the evaluation of this work in relation to the problem, and the documentation of the work.

Criterion for Success: Set an overall goal of 80% of the students achieving an overall grade of 75% or higher on the final literature review.

Timeframe of Data Collection: October 2015 - January 2016

Key/Responsible Personnel: Donna Roberts, Course Monitor

Outcome: Communication (DB, PC, WW)

The student will communicate concepts in written, digital and oral forms to present technical and non-technical information.

Measure: ENGL 221 - Technical Report Writing_Activity 9.1

▼ *Course level; Direct - Student Artifact*

Details/Description: ENGL 221 introduces students to the preparation of formal and informal technical reports, abstracts, proposals, instructions, professional correspondence and other forms of technical communication. Major emphasis is placed on the long technical report and the acquisition of advanced writing skills. Part of this course involves student writing a formal, researched and documented report with aesthetically pleasing title page, a letter of transmittal, tables of content and of tables and figures, an informative abstract, and clearly identified sections with headings throughout the report (Activity 9.1).

Criterion for Success:	Set an overall goal of 80% of the students achieving an overall grade of 75% or higher on the final report.
Timeframe of Data Collection:	December 2015 - March 2016
Key/Responsible Personnel:	Dr. Maryam El-Shall, course monitor/developer 2015; Ann Marie Ade, Discipline Chair English and Speech; Ron Serra, Assistant Professor, course monitor/developer 2016.

Measure: HUMN 330 – Values and Ethics_Reflective Essay

▼ *Course level; Direct - Student Artifact*

Details/Description:	The objective of the reflection paper assignment is for students to relate the material from the textbook readings and course discussions to the experiences in their lives. Students develop a statement that encompasses an overall picture of their values and ethics and are encouraged to address the type of person they want to become.
Criterion for Success:	Set an overall goal of 80% of the students achieving an overall grade of 75% or higher on the Reflection Paper.
Timeframe of Data Collection:	December 2015 - March 2016.
Key/Responsible Personnel:	Dr. Debra Bourdeau, course monitor/developer; Ron Serra, Assistant Professor, collection of data.

Outcome: Scientific Literacy (DB, PC, WW)

The student will be able to analyze scientific evidence as it relates to the physical world and its interrelationship with human values and interests.

Measure: Assessing Critical Thinking in an Environmental Science Course - Content Goal

▼ *Course level; Direct - Other*

Details/Description:	In our new PHYS 142 Introduction to Environments Science course, we included a critical thinking discussion in each of the 9 modules of the course.
----------------------	---

The student submissions for each discussion will be graded by using a rubric and the score for each student will be range from 0 and 100. Thus we have a score for each student for each module discussion and we can treat the first 8 discussions as an exam with 8 questions (one for each discussion). This procedure will allow us to set a general and content goal for the assessment. We will harvest the results for the 8 discussions in Canvas and evaluate the results across all modalities collectively and then by individual modalities (Lecture, Blended Learning Lecture, EagleVision Classroom, Blended Learning EagleVision Classroom, EagleVision Home, Blended Learning EagleVision Home, or Online). The goal is to see if there is a difference in learning based on modality.

Collect data from sections of PHYS 142 being taught online, by EagleVision and at campuses in October – December 2015 time frame. Process the data on student performance and analyze the data to determine whether students demonstrate an acceptable level if critical thinking. The critical thinking discussions address Learning Outcomes 1 in the PHYS 142 course outline.

Criterion for Success:

Set a content goal that the average score for any single critical thinking discussion will not be less than 75%.

Timeframe of Data Collection:

Oct-Dec 2015

Key/Responsible Personnel:

Dr. Tom Sieland and Dr. John Bradham

Measure: Assessing Critical Thinking in an Environmental Science Course - General Goal

▼ *Course level; Direct - Other*

Details/Description:

In our new PHYS 142 Introduction to Environments Science course, we included a critical thinking discussion in each of the 9 modules of the course. The student submissions for each discussion will be graded by using a rubric and the score for each student will be range from 0 and 100. Thus we have a score for each student for each module

discussion and we can treat the first 8 discussions as an exam with 8 questions (one for each discussion). This procedure will allow us to set a general and content goal for the assessment. We will harvest the results for the 8 discussions in Canvas and evaluate the results across all modalities collectively and then by individual modalities (Lecture, Blended Learning Lecture, EagleVision Classroom, Blended Learning EagleVision Classroom, EagleVision Home, Blended Learning EagleVision Home, or Online). The goal is to see if there is a difference in learning based on modality.

Criterion for Success:

Collect data from sections of PHYS 142 being taught online, by EagleVision and at campuses in October – December 2015 time frame. Process the data on student performance and analyze the data to determine whether students demonstrate an acceptable level of critical thinking. The critical thinking discussions address Learning Outcomes 1 in the PHYS 142 course outline.

Set an overall goal that 80% of the sections will have an average section grade of 75 or greater for the mock exam of 8 questions.

Timeframe of Data Collection:
Key/Responsible Personnel:

Oct - Dec 2015

Dr. Tom Sieland and Dr. John Bradham

Outcome: Cultural Literacy (DB, PC, WW)

The student will be able to analyze historical events, cultural artifacts, and philosophical concepts.

Measure: Gen Ed Program Goal: Cultural Literacy

▼ *Course level; Direct - Student Artifact*

Details/Description:

HUMN 210 – World Culture focuses on the cultural development of world societies including but not limited to religious, social, political, and philosophical arenas as all apply to contemporary circumstances. One of the major learning

outcomes for the course is that students will research (in writing), through examination and analysis of primary and secondary sources, a country or specific society and its customs and practices while highlighting overall cultural contributions to a global world society (Activity 8.5).

Criterion for Success: Set an overall goal of 80% of the students achieving an overall grade of 75% or higher on the research paper.

Timeframe of Data Collection: December 2015 - March 2016.

Key/Responsible Personnel: Dr. Maryam El-Shall, Course Monitor; Kara Fontenot, Discipline Chair Humanities; Ron Serra, Assistant Professor, collection of data.

Measure: Gen Ed Program Goal: Information Literacy

▼ *Course level; Direct - Student Artifact*

Details/Description: ENGL 221 - Technical Report Writing introduces students to the preparation of formal and informal technical reports, abstracts, proposals, instructions, professional correspondence and other forms of technical communication. Major emphasis is placed on the long technical report and the acquisition of advanced writing skills. Part of this course involves student writing a formal, researched and documented report with aesthetically pleasing title page, a letter of transmittal, tables of content and of tables and figures, an informative abstract, and clearly identified sections with headings throughout the report (Activity 9.1).

Criterion for Success: Set an overall goal of 80% of the students achieving an overall grade of 75% or higher on the final report.

Timeframe of Data Collection: December 2015 - March 2016

Key/Responsible Personnel: Dr. Maryam El-Shall, Course Monitor/Developer 2015; Ann Marie Ade, Discipline Chair English and Speech; Ron Serra, Assistant Professor, course monitor/developer 2016.

Measure: Gen Ed Program Goal: Lifelong Personal Growth

▼ *Course level; Direct - Student Artifact*

Details/Description:	HUMN 330 – Values and Ethics Reflection Paper: The objective of this assignment (9.1) is for students to relate the material from the textbook readings and course discussions to the experiences in their lives. Students develop a statement that encompasses an overall picture of their values and ethics and are encouraged to address the type of person they want to become.
Criterion for Success:	Set an overall goal of 80% of the students achieving an overall grade of 75% or higher on the Reflection Paper (9.1).
Timeframe of Data Collection:	December 2015 - March 2016.
Key/Responsible Personnel:	Dr. Debra Bourdeau, Course Monitor/Developer, Kara Fontenot, Discipline Chair Humanities; Ron Serra, Assistant Professor, collection of data.

Measure: HUMN 210 – World Culture_Activity 8.5

▼ *Course level; Direct - Student Artifact*

Details/Description:	HUMN 210 focuses on the cultural development of world societies including but not limited to religious, social, political, and philosophical arenas as all apply to contemporary circumstances. One of the major learning outcomes for the course is that students will research (in writing), through examination and analysis of primary and secondary sources, a country or specific society and its customs and practices while highlighting overall cultural contributions to a global world society (Activity 8.5).
Criterion for Success:	Set an overall goal of 80% of the students achieving an overall grade of 75% or higher on the research paper (8.5).
Timeframe of Data Collection:	December 2015 - March 2016.
Key/Responsible Personnel:	Dr. Maryam El-Shall, course monitor; Kara Fontenot, Discipline Chair Humanities; Ron Serra, Assistant Professor, data collection.

Measure: HUMN 330 – Values and Ethics_Reflective Essay

▼ *Course level; Direct - Student Artifact*

Details/Description:	Reflection Paper: The objective of this assignment (9.1) is for students to relate the material from the textbook readings and course discussions to the experiences in their lives. Students develop a statement that encompasses an overall picture of their values and ethics and are encouraged to address the type of person they want to become.
Criterion for Success:	Set an overall goal of 80% of the students achieving an overall grade of 75% or higher on the Reflection Paper (9.1).
Timeframe of Data Collection:	December 2015 - March 2016.
Key/Responsible Personnel:	Dr. Debra Bourdeau, Course Monitor/Developer, Kara Fontenot, Discipline Chair Humanities; Ron Serra, Assistant Professor, collection of data.

General Education Outcome Set

Outcome

Outcome: WW_BSGE_PO_03

Written Communication:

Communicate ideas in written form in both technical and non-technical areas.

Measure: ENGL 221 Technical Report Writing_Activity 9.1

▼ *Course level; Direct - Student Artifact*

Details/Description:	ENGL 221 introduces students to the preparation of formal and informal technical reports, abstracts, proposals, instructions, professional correspondence and other forms of technical communication. Major emphasis is placed on the long technical report and the acquisition of advanced writing skills. Part of this course involves student writing a formal, researched and documented report with
----------------------	---

Criterion for Success:	aesthetically pleasing title page, a letter of transmittal, tables of content and of tables and figures, an informative abstract, and clearly identified sections with headings throughout the report (Activity 9.1). Set an overall goal of 80% of the students achieving an overall grade of 75% or higher on the final report.
Timeframe of Data Collection:	December 2015 - March 2016
Key/Responsible Personnel:	Dr. Maryam El-Shall, Course Monitor/Developer 2015; Ann Marie Ade, Discipline Chair English and Speech; Ron Serra, Assistant Professor, course monitor/developer 2016.

Measure: HUMN 330 – Values and Ethics_Reflective Essay
 ▼ *Course level; Direct - Student Artifact*

Details/Description:	Reflection Paper: The objective of this assignment is for students to relate the material from the textbook readings and course discussions to the experiences in their lives. Students develop a statement that encompasses an overall picture of their values and ethics and are encouraged to address the type of person they want to become.
Criterion for Success:	Criterion for Success: Set an overall goal of 80% of the students achieving an overall grade of 75% or higher on the Reflection Paper (9.1).
Timeframe of Data Collection:	December 2015 - March 2016.
Key/Responsible Personnel:	Dr. Debra Bourdeau, Course Monitor/Developer, Kara Fontenot, Discipline Chair Humanities; Ron Serra, Assistant Professor, collection of data.

Outcome: WW_BSGE_PO_05
 Ethical and Social Responsibility:
 Recognize the importance of professional, ethical and social responsibility.

Measure: HUMN 330 – Values and Ethics_Reflective Essay

▼ *Course level; Direct - Student Artifact*

Details/Description:	Reflection Paper: The objective of this assignment is for students to relate the material from the textbook readings and course discussions to the experiences in their lives. Students develop a statement that encompasses an overall picture of their values and ethics and are encouraged to address the type of person they want to become.
Criterion for Success:	Set an overall goal of 80% of the students achieving an overall grade of 75% or higher on the Reflection Paper.
Timeframe of Data Collection:	December 2015 - March 2016
Key/Responsible Personnel:	Dr. Debra Bourdeau, Course Monitor/Developer, Kara Fontenot, Discipline Chair Humanities; Ron Serra, Assistant Professor, collection of data.

Outcome: WW_BSGE_PO_08

Scientific Reasoning:

Use scientific information in critical thinking and decision-making processes.

Measure: Assessing Critical Thinking in an Environmental Science Course - Content Goal

▼ *Course level; Direct - Other*

Details/Description:	In our new PHYS 142 Introduction to Environments Science course, we included a critical thinking discussion in each of the 9 modules of the course. The student submissions for each discussion will be graded by using a rubric and the score for each student will be range from 0 and 100. Thus we have a score for each student for each module discussion and we can treat the first 8 discussions as an exam with 8 questions (one for each discussion). This procedure will allow us to set a general and content goal for the assessment. We will harvest the results for the 8 discussions in Canvas and evaluate the results across all modalities collectively and then by individual modalities (Lecture, Blended Learning Lecture,
----------------------	---

EagleVision Classroom, Blended Learning EagleVision Classroom, EagleVision Home, Blended Learning EagleVision Home, or Online). The goal is to see if there is a difference in learning based on modality.

Collect data from sections of PHYS 142 being taught online, by EagleVision and at campuses in October – December 2015 time frame. Process the data on student performance and analyze the data to determine whether students demonstrate an acceptable level of critical thinking. The critical thinking discussions address Learning Outcomes 1 in the PHYS 142 course outline.

Criterion for Success:

Set a content goal that the average score for any single critical thinking discussion will not be less than 75%.

Timeframe of Data Collection:

Oct-Dec 2015

Key/Responsible Personnel:

Dr. Tom Sieland and Dr. John Bradham

Measure: Assessing Critical Thinking in an Environmental Science Course - General Goal

▼ *Course level; Direct - Other*

Details/Description:

In our new PHYS 142 Introduction to Environments Science course, we included a critical thinking discussion in each of the 9 modules of the course. The student submissions for each discussion will be graded by using a rubric and the score for each student will be range from 0 and 100. Thus we have a score for each student for each module discussion and we can treat the first 8 discussions as an exam with 8 questions (one for each discussion). This procedure will allow us to set a general and content goal for the assessment. We will harvest the results for the 8 discussions in Canvas and evaluate the results across all modalities collectively and then by individual modalities (Lecture, Blended Learning Lecture, EagleVision Classroom, Blended Learning EagleVision Classroom, EagleVision Home, Blended Learning EagleVision Home, or Online). The goal is to see if there is a difference in learning based on

modality.

Collect data from sections of PHYS 142 being taught online, by EagleVision and at campuses in October – December 2015 time frame. Process the data on student performance and analyze the data to determine whether students demonstrate an acceptable level of critical thinking. The critical thinking discussions address Learning Outcomes 1 in the PHYS 142 course outline.

Criterion for Success:

Set an overall goal that 80% of the sections will have an average section grade of 75 or greater for the mock exam of 8 questions.

Timeframe of Data

Oct - Dec 2015

Collection:

Key/Responsible

Dr. Tom Sieland and Dr. John Bradham

Personnel:

Outcome: WW_BSGE_PO_12

Social Awareness:

Understand contemporary issues in society.

Measure: Gen Ed Program Goal: Cultural Literacy

▼ *Course level; Direct - Student Artifact*

Details/Description:

HUMN 210 – World Culture focuses on the cultural development of world societies including but not limited to religious, social, political, and philosophical arenas as all apply to contemporary circumstances. One of the major learning outcomes for the course is that students will research (in writing), through examination and analysis of primary and secondary sources, a country or specific society and its customs and practices while highlighting overall cultural contributions to a global world society (Activity 8.5).

Criterion for Success:

Set an overall goal of 80% of the students achieving an overall grade of 75% or higher on the research paper.

Timeframe of Data
Collection:
Key/Responsible
Personnel:

December 2015 - March 2016

Dr. Maryam El-Shall, Course Monitor; Kara
Fontenot, Discipline Chair Humanities; Ron Serra,
Assistant Professor, collection of data.

Measure: Gen Ed Program Goal: Information Literacy

▼ *Course level; Direct - Student Artifact*

Details/Description:

ENGL 221 - Technical Report Writing introduces students to the preparation of formal and informal technical reports, abstracts, proposals, instructions, professional correspondence and other forms of technical communication. Major emphasis is placed on the long technical report and the acquisition of advanced writing skills. Part of this course involves student writing a formal, researched and documented report with aesthetically pleasing title page, a letter of transmittal, tables of content and of tables and figures, an informative abstract, and clearly identified sections with headings throughout the report (Activity 9.1).

Criterion for Success:

Set an overall goal of 80% of the students achieving an overall grade of 75% or higher on the final report.

Timeframe of Data
Collection:
Key/Responsible
Personnel:

December 2015 - March 2016.

Dr. Maryam El-Shall, Course Monitor/Developer
2015; Ann Marie Ade, Discipline Chair English and
Speech; Ron Serra, Assistant Professor, course
monitor/developer 2016.

Measure: Gen Ed Program Goal: Lifelong Personal Growth

▼ *Course level; Direct - Student Artifact*

Details/Description:

HUMN 330 – Values and Ethics
Reflection Paper: The objective of this assignment
is for students to relate the material from the
textbook readings and course discussions to the

Criterion for Success:	experiences in their lives. Students develop a statement that encompasses an overall picture of their values and ethics and are encouraged to address the type of person they want to become. Set an overall goal of 80% of the students achieving an overall grade of 75% or higher on the Reflection Paper.
Timeframe of Data Collection:	December 2015 - March 2016.
Key/Responsible Personnel:	Dr. Debra Bourdeau, Course Monitor/Developer, Kara Fontenot, Discipline Chair Humanities; Ron Serra, Assistant Professor, collection of data.

Outcome: WW_BSGE_PO_13
Multicultural Competence:

Recognize the complexity and diversity of the human experience, including cultural, aesthetic, psychological, philosophical, and spiritual dimensions.

Measure: HUMN 210 – World Culture_Activity 8.5

▼ *Course level; Direct - Student Artifact*

Details/Description:	HUMN 210 focuses on the cultural development of world societies including but not limited to religious, social, political, and philosophical arenas as all apply to contemporary circumstances. One of the major learning outcomes for the course is that students will research (in writing), through examination and analysis of primary and secondary sources, a country or specific society and its customs and practices while highlighting overall cultural contributions to a global world society (Activity 8.5).
Criterion for Success:	Set an overall goal of 80% of the students achieving an overall grade of 75% or higher on the research paper.
Timeframe of Data Collection:	December 2015 - March 2016.
Key/Responsible Personnel:	Dr. Maryam El-Shall, course monitor; Kara Fontenot, discipline chair Humanities; Ron Serra, Assistant Professor, data collection.

Outcome: WW_BSGE_PO_14

Information Literacy:

Conduct and report research in accordance with professional standards.

Measure: ENGL 221 - Technical Report Writing_Activity 9.1

▼ *Course level; Direct - Student Artifact*

Details/Description:	ENGL 221 introduces students to the preparation of formal and informal technical reports, abstracts, proposals, instructions, professional correspondence and other forms of technical communication. Major emphasis is placed on the long technical report and the acquisition of advanced writing skills. Part of this course involves student writing a formal, researched and documented report with aesthetically pleasing title page, a letter of transmittal, tables of content and of tables and figures, an informative abstract, and clearly identified sections with headings throughout the report (Activity 9.1).
Criterion for Success:	Set an overall goal of 80% of the students achieving an overall grade of 75% or higher on the final report.
Timeframe of Data Collection:	December 2015 - March 2016.
Key/Responsible Personnel:	Dr. Maryam El-Shall, Course Monitor/Developer 2015; Ann Marie Ade, Discipline Chair English and Speech; Ron Serra, Assistant Professor, course monitor/developer 2016.

Measure: RSCH 202 - Activity 5.6 - Assignment: Final Literature Review

▼ *Course level; Direct - Student Artifact*

Details/Description:	The RSCH 202 course gives students a thorough introduction to research processes and techniques, equips them to learn other techniques and do research in future courses and their professional lives, and provides them with the knowledge to evaluate research done by others. Topics covered include the purposes of research, defining research
----------------------	---

and research problems, defining a hypothesis, problem solving and knowledge discovery, methods of quantitative and qualitative research, conducting literature reviews, designing appropriate methodologies, evaluating outcomes, analysis and communicating the results. The literature review assignment is a step-by-step process that involves the identification of published and unpublished work from secondary data sources on the topic of interest, the evaluation of this work in relation to the problem, and the documentation of the work.

Criterion for Success:

Set an overall goal of 80% of the students achieving an overall grade of 75% or higher on the final literature review.

Timeframe of Data

October 2015 - January 2016

Collection:

Key/Responsible

Donna Roberts, Course Monitor

Personnel:

Supporting Attachments:

 [RSCH 202 Literature Review Rubric](#) (File)

Additional/Ad-hoc Program Improvements (Optional)

Attachments